## PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL OF QUALITY CONSULTANT

Note : Part I, II & III will be filled by official.
FOR THE YEAR
Department/ Office
PART – I
1. Name of the Officer & Designation:
2. Date of birth:
3. Date of appointment in National Health Mission:
4. Educational Qualification:
5. Present appointment:
<ol> <li>Period of absence from duty on leave (Casual, Medical Leave, Maternity Leave etc or any other leave ):</li> </ol>
Place of Posting
Date:
Signature of the Officer:
PART – II

7. Brief resume of the work done of reporting year (100 words)

Name & Signature of official\_\_\_\_\_

## PART- III

## <u>Kayakalp</u>

8. No. of field visits done during the yea( Doc attached)
9. No of training conducted during the year
10. No fo training attended during the year
11. Kayakalp Implementation
a. No of facilities in the Distt (DH, CH, CHC & PHC)
b. Proportion of facilities the Kayakalp program is implementation (DH, CH, CHC & PHC)
c. No of facilities scored >70% in Kayakalp for this FY
d. No of facilities scored < 50 in Kayakalp for this FY
e. Reason for poor scoring facilities (<50%)
f. Proportion facility had scored > 70 % from last year
12. National Quality Assurance Standards (NQAS)
a. No of facilities shortlisted for NQAS Certification (DH, CH, CHC & PHC)
<ul> <li>a. No of facilities shortlisted for NQAS Certification (DH, CH, CHC &amp; PHC)</li> <li>b. No of facilities scored &gt;70% for state certification</li> </ul>
b. No of facilities scored >70% for state certification
<ul> <li>b. No of facilities scored &gt;70% for state certification</li></ul>
<ul> <li>b. No of facilities scored &gt;70% for state certification</li></ul>
<ul> <li>b. No of facilities scored &gt;70% for state certification</li></ul>
<ul> <li>b. No of facilities scored &gt;70% for state certification</li></ul>

k. No of facilities Key Performance Indicator are analysed & reported (KPI) (DH, CH, CHC & PHC)

I. Utilization of Quality Improvement Tools for observe gaps

(a) No of process mapping prepared

- (b) PDCA implemented
- (c) 5 S implementation
- (d) Mistake proofing

m. Patient satisfaction survey implemented in the facilities

n. Implementation of quality tools for observed gaps

Name & Signature of the official

## PART - IV

## Assessment by Reporting Officer: (DPO, QA / MOH)

## 13. State of Health

- a) Good
- b) Absentism due to health problem

## 14. Temperament:

- a) Is he/she calm and does he/she retain poise at time of pressure of work.
- b) Does he/she got provoked easily:

## 15. Knowledge of Rules, Regulation & Procedures:

- Very Good (Adequate) a)
- Good (Try together me m Average (Not good enough) Poor (Not efforts for inform (Try together the information) b)
- C)
- (Not efforts for information updating) d)

## 16. Quality of Work:

Attention to detail

- a) Reliable and comprehensive
- b) Considers all relevant details
- c) Inclined to be superficial
- d) Not attentative

## 17. Opinion Regarding Professional Ability:

General Profession Knowledge

- a). Excellent:
- b). Good
- c). Average
- d). Poor
- 18. Obedience of the Officer

- a) Excellent: (Share the information promptly)
- b) Good (Share the information in stipulated time)
- c) Average (Share the information with some delay)
- d) Poor (Share the information after many reminders)

### 19. Kayakalp Implemetation (over all)

- a). Excellent:
- b). Good
- c) Average
- d). Poor

### 20. NQAS Implementation (over all)

- a) Excellent:
- b) Good
- c) Average
- d) Poor

## Remaks by Reporting Officer for NQAS achievement\_\_\_\_\_

Name (in block letter)\_\_\_\_\_

Designation\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Reporting Officer\_\_\_\_\_

Counter Sign by CMO\_\_\_\_\_

## PART - V

## Assessment by Reviewing officer : (SPO, QA)

Do you agree with performance of work indicating Part I to IV.

Remakrs on Kayakalp Achievement of the officer\_\_\_\_\_

Do you agree with reporting officer assessment ( if disagree give reason)\_\_\_\_\_

Has the officer done any outstanding or notable work during assessment year :

(briefly mentioned)\_\_\_\_\_

## Grading by the Reviewing officer:

- a) Excellent:
- b) Good
- c) Average
- d) Poor

## Name (in block letter)\_\_\_\_\_

Designation\_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Reviewing Officer\_\_\_\_\_

## PART - VI

Counter signature by Dy MD (NHM) (Name in block letter)\_\_\_\_\_ Remakrs (if any) Signature\_\_\_\_\_

Counter signature by MD (NHM)\_\_\_\_\_

(Name in block Letter)\_\_\_\_\_

Remakrs (if any)

## PERFORMANCE APPRAISAL OF ADMINISTRATIVE CUM PROGRAM ASSISTANT, QA

Report for the year/period ending :	to
Department office	
P	ARTI
1. Name of Official	
2. Designation & place of posting	
3. Date of Birth	
4. Date of appointment in National Health Mis	sion
5. Educational Qualification	
6. Present appointment	
<ol> <li>Period of absence from duty Leave Period: (Maternity leave, Medical leave)</li> </ol>	eave , Casual leave or any other leave etc)
Place of Posting	
Date	
Pa	art - II

8. Brief resume of work done during the reporting year (100 words

Signature of official\_\_\_\_\_

## PART III

## ASSESSMENT BY THE REPORTING OFFICER (Distt QA Consulatant)

- 9. State of Health :
  - a) Good
  - b) Absentism due to health problem

#### 10. Temprament

a) Is he/she calm and does he/she retain poise at time of pressure of work.

b) Does he/she got provoked easily:

#### 11. General intelligence and keenness to learn. :

- (a) Very Good
- (b) Good
- (c) Average
- (d) Poor

# 12. Attention to the office work such as proper maintenance of Assistants Diary, Guard Files, Recording, Indexing and weeding of files.

- (a) Pays adequate attention to these aspects
- (b) Is indifferent to these aspects.
- (c) Has to be constantly prompted and supervised

#### 13. Knowledge of office procedure:-

- (a) Very Good
- (b) Good
- (c) Average
- (d) Poor

# 14. Knowledge of Rules, Regulations and instructions in general and with particular reference to the work allotted to him/her.

- (a) Very Good
- (b) Good
- (c) Average
- (d) Poor

## 15. Quality of work

Ability to apply the relevant rules and regulations correctly:-

- (a) Very Good
- (b) Good
- (c) Average
- (d) Poor

## 16. Capacity to examination cases, thoroughly and comprehensively

- (a) Very Good
- (b) Good
- (c) Average
- (d) Poor

## 17. Ability for noting and drafting

- (a) Very Good
- (b) Good
- (c) Average
- (d) Poor

## 18. Promptness in disposal of work

- (a) Very Prompt
- (b) Complets the task in stipulated time
- (c) Takes 7-8 days to complete the work

#### 19. Amenability to discipline

- (a) Very Good
- (b) Good
- (c) Average
- (d) Poor

#### 20. Punctuality in attendance

- (a) Always punctual
- (b) Panctual
- (c) Not Panctual
- (d) Leave exceed as per entitlement

#### 21. Relations with fellow employees

- (a) cooperative
- (b) non cooperative
- (c) healpful always
- (d) not bother at all

- (a) Very Good
- (b) Good
- (c) Average
- (d) Poor
- 23. Has the official been reprimanded for indifferent work or for other causes during the period under report. If so, please give brief particulars.
  - (a) Yes
  - (b) No
- 24. Has the official done any outstanding or notable work meriting commendation. Briefly mention them.

Signatures of Reporting Officer \_\_\_\_\_

Name in Block letter \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Counter Sign by CMO

## PART IV **ASSESSMENT OF REVIEWING OFFICER (SPO, QA)**

## 21. Do you agree with the remarks of the Reporting Officer , Give detailed remaks on in Part II & III.

Signatures of Reviewing Officer

Name in Block letter \_\_\_\_\_

Designation	
-------------	--

Date:	

## PART V

Review by the next higher authority with remaks, if any

Counter signature by Dy MD (NHM) (Name in block letter)

Signature

Counter signature by MD (NHM)\_\_\_\_\_

(Name in block Letter)\_\_\_\_\_

Remakrs (if any)

Signature	
-----------	--